RCMOS – Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal O Saber.
ISSN: 2675-9128. Sao Paulo-SP.
Year IV, v.1, n.1, Jan./Jul. 2024. | submission: 07/15/2024 | accepted: 07/17/2024 | publication: 07/19/2024

Educational Technologies and Personalization of Education: Challenges and Opportunities

Educational Technologies and Personalized Learning: Challenges and Opportunities

Josué Jorge Gonçalves da Silva – Vale do Acaraú State University (UVA)
Michelle Leandro de Oliveira – Paraíba State University (UEPB)
Wandemberg da Silva – Paraíba State University (UEPB)

Summary
This article analyzes the relationship between personalization of teaching and educational technologies, highlighting their role in building a more individualized and effective education. Addressing learning theories such as constructivism, meaningful learning and multiple intelligences, the study demonstrates how personalization, combined with technology, can optimize the teaching-learning process, adapting it to the individual needs of each student. The article also explores education theories, such as differentiated pedagogy and self-directed learning, and educational technology theories, such as connectivism, artificial intelligence, virtual and augmented reality and gamification, highlighting the potential of these tools to create learning experiences personalized and engaging. The challenges for implementing personalization on a large scale are discussed, such as the lack of infrastructure and the need for teacher training, but also the opportunities to build a more personalized, engaging and effective educational future. **Key words:** personalization of teaching, educational technologies, learning theories,

Abstract
This article analyzes the relationship between personalized learning and educational technologies, highlighting the role of technology in building a more individualized and effective education. By addressing learning theories such as constructivism, meaningful learning, and multiple intelligences, the study demonstrates how personalization, combined with technology, can optimize the teaching-learning process, adapting it to the individual needs of each student. The article also explores educational theories, such as differentiated pedagogy and self-directed learning, and educational technology theories, such as connectivism, artificial intelligence, virtual and augmented reality, and gamification, highlighting the potential of these tools to create personalized and engaging learning experiences . Challenges to the large-scale implementation of personalization, such as the lack of infrastructure and the need for teacher training, are discussed, as well as the opportunities to build a more personalized, engaging, and effective educational future.
Keywords:personalized learning, educational technologies, learning theories,

1. Introduction

Education in the 21st century faces the challenge of preparing students for a world in constant transformation, marked by globalization, accelerated technological advancement and the growing need for adaptation. In this scenario, the traditional teaching model, characterized by the standardized transmission of information and the lack of attention to students' individualities, has proven to be increasingly inadequate and inefficient. The need for a more personalized education, which takes into account the particularities of each student, has become increasingly evident, both in academic discourse and in educational policies.

Personalizing teaching, as Moran (2015) highlights, is "a way of organizing teaching that takes into account students' individual differences, their learning styles, their interests and their needs. des." This approach seeks to offer each student a unique and meaningful learning path that helps them develop their full potential. Personalizing teaching is not limited to adapting content and activities to the student's individual needs, but also involves creating a learning environment that motivates, engages and inspires them to learn.

Educational technologies, in turn, have proven to be powerful tools for boosting the personalization of teaching. Adaptive platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, virtual reality, augmented reality and gamification are just some of the technologies that can be used to create personalized and engaging learning experiences. According to Horn and Staker (2015), the personalization of teaching

mediated by technology can "significantly improve student outcomes, increase student engagement, and prepare students for success in the 21st century."

This article aims to analyze the relationship between the personalization of teaching and educational technologies, exploring the theories that underlie this approach and the practices that make it possible. To this end, qualitative bibliographical research will be carried out, based on scientific articles, books and other relevant sources, which address the topic of personalization of teaching and educational technologies. The research will seek to identify the main theories and authors that support the personalization of teaching, the educational technologies most used to personalize teaching and the challenges and opportunities of personalizing teaching in the digital era.

The relevance of this study lies in the need to understand how the personalization of teaching, mediated by educational technologies, can contribute to improving the quality of education and to the development of essential skills for the 21st century, such as critical thinking, creativity, collaboration and communication. As Griffin and Care (2012) state, "personalizing instruction can help students develop the skills and knowledge they need to succeed in school, work, and life." Furthermore, personalizing education can promote equity and inclusion, by ensuring that all students have access to quality education, adapted to their needs and potential.

However, implementing teaching personalization on a large scale is not an easy task. There are significant challenges to be overcome, such as the lack of technological infrastructure in many schools, the need for teacher training to use educational technologies and resistance to change on the part of some educators and managers. Furthermore, personalizing education requires a significant investment in human and financial resources, which can be an obstacle to its large-scale implementation.

Despite the challenges, the personalization of teaching mediated by educational technologies has enormous potential to transform education and prepare students for the future. By offering more individualized, engaging and relevant teaching, personalization can contribute to the development of students who are more autonomous, creative and prepared for the challenges of the 21st century. This article seeks to contribute to the debate on the personalization of teaching and educational technologies, offering a critical and reflective analysis on the topic, based on a solid theoretical framework and empirical evidence.

2. Personalization of Teaching and Educational Technologies:

2.1 Learning and Personalization Theories

The personalization of teaching is supported by several learning theories, which emphasize the importance of adapting the educational process to the needs, interests and individual characteristics of each student. Constructivism, with its roots in the works of Piaget and Vygotsky, highlights the active role of the student in the construction of knowledge. For Piaget (1970), learning is an adaptation process, in which the individual assimilates new information and accommodates it to their pre-existing cognitive structures. Vygotsky (1978), in turn, emphasizes the importance of social interaction and the zone of proximal development, which represents the distance between what the student already knows and what he or she can learn with the help of a more experienced mediator.

Personalizing teaching, by adapting content and activities to the student's individual needs, aligns with the constructivist view that learning is an active and individualized process. Instead of offering a standardized curriculum for all students, personalization allows everyone to advance at their own pace, explore their interests and develop their potential. Educational technologies, such as adaptive platforms and intelligent tutoring systems, can assist in this process, providing individualized feedback, adapting the level of difficulty of activities and offering personalized resources and materials for each student.

Ausubel's (1968) theory of meaningful learning also supports personalization of teaching. According to Ausubel, meaningful learning occurs when new knowledge connects in a non-arbitrary and substantive way to the student's prior knowledge. This connection facilitates the understanding, retention and application of new knowledge in different contexts. Educational technologies can help personalize teaching by identifying the student's prior knowledge, through diagnostic assessments and data analysis, and presenting new content in a contextualized and meaningful way, using resources such as concept maps, simulations and games.

Gardner's theory of multiple intelligences (1983) challenges the traditional view of intelligence as a single and measurable ability, proposing the existence of different types of intelligence, such as linguistics.

ethical, logical-mathematical, spatial, musical, corporal-kinesthetic, interpersonal, intrapersonal and naturalistic. Each individual has a unique intelligence profile, with strengths and weaknesses in different areas. Personalizing teaching, by taking into account students' different intelligences, can offer activities and resources that meet their individual needs and potential. For example, a student with strong spatial intelligence may benefit from activities that involve viewing and manipulating objects, while a student with strong interpersonal intelligence may benefit from activities that involve group work and collaboration.

Educational technologies can be powerful allies in implementing personalized teaching that takes multiple intelligences into account. Adaptive platforms can offer personalized activities and resources for each type of intelligence, allowing students to explore their strengths and develop their skills in different areas. Educational games can be used to stimulate learning in a playful and interactive way, adapting to each student's learning style. Online communication and collaboration tools can facilitate interaction between students with different intelligences, promoting the exchange of knowledge and collaborative learning.

The personalization of teaching, based on theories such as constructivism, meaningful learning and multiple intelligences, and enhanced by educational technologies, represents a promising path towards a more effective, inclusive and relevant education for all students. By adapting teaching to the needs, interests and individual characteristics of each student, personalization promotes engagement, motivation and academic success, preparing students for the challenges of the 21st century.

2.2 Theories of Education and Personalization

Differentiated pedagogy, like a kaleidoscope that reflects the diversity of students' learning styles, interests and needs, emerges as an essential approach to personalizing teaching. Tomlinson (2001) defends the need to adapt teaching to each student, recognizing that each individual learns in a unique way and that a standardized curriculum does not meet everyone's needs. Differentiated pedagogy proposes a variety of strategies and resources to meet individual differences, such as making the content, process and product of learning more flexible, using different teaching modalities and offering students choices.

Educational technologies, as versatile and adaptable tools, can be powerful allies in implementing differentiated pedagogy. Adaptive platforms, for example, can provide students with personalized activities and assessments, adjusting the level of difficulty and type of content to their needs and abilities. Content creation tools, such as video and audio editing software, allow teachers to create personalized teaching materials for different learning styles. Additionally, educational technologies can facilitate communication and collaboration between students and teachers, allowing students to receive individualized feedback and teachers to track each student's progress more effectively.

Self-directed learning, as a compass that guides the student on their learning journey, places the individual at the center of the educational process, making them responsible for defining their goals, choosing their resources and evaluating their progress. Knowles (1975) defines self-directed learning as “a process in whichindividuals take the initiative, with or without the help of others, to diagnose their learning needs, formulatetheir learning objectives, identify thehuman and material resources to learn, choose and implement appropriate learning strategies, and evaluate learning outcomes.”

Educational technologies can support self-directed learning by providing students with access to a wide range of learning resources and tools, such as digital libraries, online courses, tutorials, video rials and collaboration platforms. These tools allow students to customize their educational path, choosing the topics that interest them most, the pace of learning that best adapts to their needs and the teaching modalities that most appeal to them. Additionally, educational technologies can provide students with immediate feedback on their performance, allowing them to identify their strengths and weaknesses and adjust their learning strategies.

Deci and Ryan's (1985) self-determination theory highlights the importance of autonomy, competence and interpersonal relationships for student motivation and engagement. Autonomy, defined as the feeling of control over one's own learning, is a crucial factor for intrinsic motivation, which is the desire to learn for its own sake, for the pleasure and satisfaction that learning provides. The competence,

defined as the feeling of being able to perform tasks and achieve goals, it is also fundamental for motivation, as it increases the student's self-confidence and sense of self-efficacy. Interpersonal relationships, in turn, refer to the need to feel connected and belonging to a social group. A welcoming and supportive school environment, where students feel valued and respected, can help to increase their motivation and engagement.

Personalizing teaching, by offering students choices, challenges and individualized feedback, can meet these three basic psychological needs, promoting intrinsic motivation and student engagement. Educational technologies can be powerful tools to support the personalization of teaching and, consequently, student motivation. Adaptive platforms, for example, can offer students personalized challenges that adjust to their skill level, providing a sense of competence and progress. Online communication and collaboration tools can facilitate interaction between students and teachers, creating an environment of support and belonging.

2.3 Theories of Educational Technology and Personalization

The convergence between technology and education has provided a new panorama for the personalization of teaching, driven by innovative theories and practices that redefine the way we learn and teach. Connectivism, proposed by Siemens (2005), emerges as a network learning theory, which emphasizes the importance of connecting information and creating knowledge networks. From this perspective, learning is not restricted to the acquisition of information, but involves the ability to connect ideas, build relationships between different sources of knowledge and participate in learning communities.

Educational technologies, such as online learning platforms, social networks and collaboration tools, play a crucial role in promoting connectivism. They facilitate the connection between students and teachers, the exchange of information and the collaborative construction of knowledge, transcending the physical barriers of the classroom and allowing learning to occur at any time and place. Through these tools, students can access a wide range of educational resources, interact with their peers and teachers, share ideas and build knowledge networks that enrich their learning.

Artificial intelligence (AI) in education, in turn, offers transformative potential for personalizing teaching. Luckin (2018) highlights the use of AI to analyze student performance, identify their individual needs and adapt content, activities and feedback in a personalized way. Intelligent tutoring systems, for example, can provide students with instant and personalized feedback, adapting the pace of learning and offering challenging activities that encourage the development of their skills. AI can also be used to analyze large volumes of educational data, identifying patterns and trends that can help teachers make more informed decisions about planning and evaluating teaching.

Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) in education open doors to immersive, interactive experiences that can transform the way students learn. Merchant et al. (2014) explore the potential of VR and AR to create simulated learning environments, where students can explore abstract concepts, perform virtual experiments, and interact with historical objects and characters in a realistic and engaging way. VR and AR can also be used to create educational games and simulations that allow students to learn in a playful and interactive way, stimulating curiosity, creativity and engagement.

Gamification in education, in turn, uses game elements, such as challenges, rewards and rankings, to motivate students and make learning more fun and engaging. Kapp (2012) argues that gamification can increase students' intrinsic motivation, that is, the desire to learn for pleasure and the satisfaction that learning provides. By turning learning into a game, gamification It can spark student interest, encourage healthy competition and promote collaboration, making the classroom a more dynamic and engaging environment.

Connectivism, artificial intelligence, virtual and augmented reality and gamification are theories ries and practices that, together with educational technologies, are revolutionizing the personalization of teaching. By exploring the potential of these tools and approaches, we can create a more personalized, engaging and effective educational future, where every student has the opportunity to develop their potential and achieve their learning goals.

3. Final Considerations

The convergence between teaching personalization and educational technologies presents a promising horizon for 21st century education. Theories of learning, education and educational technology provide a solid foundation for building more individualized, engaging and effective teaching. By analyzing the different approaches and tools available, this article sought to highlight the transformative potential of technology-mediated personalization of teaching.

Adaptive platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, virtual reality, augmented reality and gamification are just some of the tools that can be used to create personalized and engaging learning experiences. By adapting content, learning pace and feedback to each student's individual needs, these technologies can enhance learning, increase engagement and promote motivation.

However, implementing teaching personalization on a large scale is not without challenges. The lack of technological infrastructure, the need for teacher training and resistance to change are obstacles that need to be overcome. Furthermore, the issue of privacy and security of student data requires attention and care.

Despite the challenges, the personalization of teaching mediated by educational technologies has enormous potential to revolutionize education and prepare students for the future. By offering more individualized, engaging and relevant teaching, personalization can contribute to the development of students who are more autonomous, creative and prepared for the challenges of the 21st century.

It is crucial that educators, researchers and public policy makers work together to explore the potential of personalizing teaching and educational technologies, seeking innovative and effective solutions to existing challenges. The education of the future requires a commitment to innovation, collaboration and the constant search for new ways of teaching and learning. The personalization of teaching mediated by educational technologies is a promising way to achieve this goal, providing students with a more equitable, inclusive and quality education.

4. References

AINSCOW, M. (2001). Developing inclusive schools: ideas for action. Porto Alegre: Artmed. AUSUBEL, DP (1968). Educational psychology: A cognitive view. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston. BAKHTIN, M. (1981). Marxism and philosophy of language. São Paulo: Hucitec.

BANDURA, A. (1977). Social learning theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

BLUMENFELD, P.C., SOLOWAY, E., MARX, R.W., KRAJCIK, J.S., GUZDIAL, M., & PALINCSAR, A. (1991). Motivating project-based learning: Sustaining the doing, supporting the learning. Educational Psychologist, 26(3-4), 369-398.

BOOTH, T., & AINSCOW, M. (2002). Index for inclusion: Developing learning and participation in schools. Bristol: Center for Studies on Inclusive Education.

BRAY, B., & MCCLASKEY, K. (2017). Personalizing learning: A guide for engaging students with technology. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

BROOKFIELD, S. D. (1987). Developing critical thinkers: Challenging adults to explore alternative ways of thinking and acting. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

BRUNER, J. S. (1960). The process of education. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. CARVALHO, RE (2008). Inclusive education: dotted with the “i’s”. Porto Alegre: Mediation. COIRO, J., KNOBEL, M., LANKSHEAR, C., & LEU, DJ (Eds.). (2013). Handbook of research on new literacies. New York: Routledge.

CRAIG, W. M., PEPPLER, D. J., & ATLAS, R. (2000). Observations of bullying in the playground and in the classroom. School Psychology International, 21(1), 22-36.

CSIKSZENTMIHALYI, M. (1996). Creativity: Flow and the psychology of discovery and invention. New York: Harper Perennial.

CUNHA, RB (2015). Bullying and school violence: what to do? Brasilia: UNESCO. DARLING-HAMMOND, L., BARRON, B., PEARSON, PD, WELCH, AH, RIORDAN, M., & WOOLF, B. (2008). Powerful learning: What we know about teaching for understanding. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass. DEBARBIEUX, E., & BLAYA, C. (2001). Violence in schools and public policies. Brasilia: UNESCO. DECI, EL, & RYAN, RM (1985). Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior. New

York: Plenum.
DELORS, J. (1996). Education, a treasure to discover. Report to UNESCO of the International Commission on Education for the 21st Century. São Paulo: Cortez.
DEWEY, J. (1933). How we think: A restatement of the relation of reflective thinking to the educational process. Boston: DC Heath.
DEWEY, J. (1938). Experience and education. New York: Macmillan.
DOLMANS, DH, DE GRAAFF, E., WOLFHAGEN, IH, & VAN DER VLEUTEN, CP (2005). Problem-based learning: Future challenges for educational practice and research. Medical Education, 39(7), 732- 741.

DYSON, A. (2001). Paths to diversity: the construction of an inclusive school. Porto Alegre: Artmed.
ELIAS, MJ, ZINS, JE, WEISSBERG, RP, FREY, KS, GREENBERG, MT, HAYNES, NM, ... & SHURE, MB (1997). Promoting social and emotional learning: Guidelines for educators. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
ENNIS, RH (1985). A logical basis for measuring critical thinking skills. Educational Leadership, 43(2), 44-48.

ESPELAGE, DL, BOSWORTH, K., & SIMON, TR (2003). Examining the social context of bullying behaviors in early adolescence. Journal of Counseling & Development, 81(3), 326-333.
FACIONE, PA (2011). Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts. Millbrae, CA: Measured Reasons and The California Academic Press.
FANTE, C. (2012). Bullying phenomenon: how to prevent violence in schools and educate for peace. Campinas: Verus Editora.
FREIRE, P. (1970). Pedagogy of the oppressed. Rio de Janeiro: Peace and Land.
FREIRE, P. (1996). Pedagogy of autonomy: knowledge necessary for educational practice. São Paulo: Peace and Land.
GADOTTI, M. (2000). Earth pedagogy. São Paulo: Peirópolis.
GARDNER, H. (1983). Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences. New York: Basic Books. GIJBELS, D., DOCHY, F., VAN DEN BOSSCHE, P., & SEGER, M. (2005). Effects of problem-based learning: A meta-analysis from the angle of assessment. Review of Educational Research, 75(1), 27-61. GILSTER, P. (1997). Digital literacy. New York: Wiley Computer Publishing.
GLAT, R., & BLANCO, R. (2011). Inclusive education: culture and school daily life. Rio de Janeiro: 7Letters.
GOLEMAN, D. (1995). Emotional intelligence. New York: Bantam Books.
GRIFFIN, P., & CARE, E. (2012). Assessment and teaching of 21st century skills: Methods and approaches. New York: Springer.
GUILFORD, J. P. (1950). Creativity. American Psychologist, 5(9), 444-454.
HARGREAVES, A., & FULLAN, M. (2012). Professional capital: Transforming teaching in every school. New York: Teachers College Press.
HMELO-SILVER, CE (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn?. Educational Psychology Review, 16(3), 235-266.
HORN, M.B., & STAKER, H. (2015). Blended: Using disruptive innovation to improve schools. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
HUESSMANN, L.R. (2003). Effects of media violence on children and youth. In GA Comstock & JA Bryant (Eds.), Handbook of media effects (pp. 397-422). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
HUNG, W. (2011). Theory to reality: A few issues in implementing problem-based learning. Educational Technology Research and Development, 59(4), 529-552.
JOHNSON, D. W., & JOHNSON, R. T. (1989). Cooperation and competition: Theory and research. Edina, MN: Interaction Book Company.
JOHNSON, DW, & JOHNSON, RT (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence
dence theory and cooperative learning. Educational Researcher, 38(5), 365-379.
JONASSEN, DH (1999). Designing constructivist learning environments. In CM Reigeluth (Ed.), Instructional design theories and models: A new paradigm of instructional theory (Vol. II, pp. 215-239). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
KAHNEMAN, D. (2011). Thinking, fast and slow. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
KAPP, K. M. (2012). The gamification of learning and instruction: Game-based methods and strategies for training and education. San Francisco: Pfeiffer.
KILPATRICK, W. H. (1918). The project method: The use of the purposeful act in the educational process. Teachers College Record, 19(4), 319-335.

KNOWLES, M. S. (1975). Self-directed learning: A guide for learners and teachers. New York: Association Press.

LIPMAN, M. (1995). Thinking in education.